


Science as an open enterprise: open data for open science

Geoffrey Boulton

The Foundation for Science & Technology

10th July 2013

THE ROYAL SOCIETY



Science as an open enterprise


June 2012


THE ROYAL SOCIETY

Open communication of data: the source of a scientific revolution and of scientific progress



Henry Oldenburg





The challenge to Oldenburg's principle: - a crisis of replicability and credibility?

NATURE | VOL 483 | 29 MARCH 2012

REPRODUCIBILITY OF RESEARCH FINDINGS

Preclinical research generates many secondary publications, even when results cannot be reproduced.

Journal impact factor	Number of articles	Mean number of citations of non-reproduced articles*	Mean number of citations of reproduced articles
>20	21	248 (range 3–800)	231 (range 82–519)
5–19	32	169 (range 6–1,909)	13 (range 3–24)

Results from ten-year retrospective analysis of experiments performed prospectively. The term 'non-reproduced' was assigned on the basis of findings not being sufficiently robust to drive a drug-development programme.

*Source of citations: Google Scholar, May 2011.

A fundamental principle: the data providing the evidence for a published concept MUST be concurrently published, together with the metadata

But what about the vast data volumes that are not used to support publication?


New scientific knowledge from data

Exploiting the potential of linked data requires:

- data integration
- dynamic data

Solutions/agreements are needed for:

- provenance
- persistent identifiers
- standards
- data citation formats
- algorithm integration
- file-format translation
- software-archiving
- automated data reading
- metadata generation
- timing of data release



Its not just accumulating and linking data– its also what we do with it!

Jim Gray - “When you go and look at what scientists are doing, day in and day out, in terms of data analysis, it is truly dreadful. We are embarrassed by our data!”

So what are the priorities?

1. Ensuring valid reasoning
2. Innovative manipulation to create new information
3. Effective management of the data ecology
4. Education & training in data informatics & statistics

..... and we need a new breed of informatics-trained data scientist as the new librarians of the post-Gutenberg world


Slide 6

1 Geoffrey Boulton, 10/07/2013

A new ethos of data-sharing?

Example:

ELIXIR Hub (European Bioinformatic Institute) and ELIXIR Nodes provide infrastructure for data, computing, tools, standards and training.



Benefits of open science:


1. Response to Gastro-intestinal infection in Hamburg

- E-coli outbreak spread through several countries affecting 4000 people
- Strain analysed and genome released under an open data license.
- Two dozen reports in a week with interest from 4 continents
- Crucial information about strain's virulence and resistance




2. Global challenges – e.g rise of antibiotic resistance

- A global challenge that inevitably needs a global response



Benefits of open science:

3. Crowd-sourcing



Tim Gowers
- crowd-sourced mathematics

An unsolved problem posed on his blog.

32 days – 27 people – 800 substantive contributions

Emerging contributions rapidly developed or discarded

Problem solved!

“Its like driving a car whilst normal research is like pushing it”

What inhibits such processes?
- The criteria for credit and promotion.

..... & the changing social dynamic of science


Citizen science



Available on the
App Store



Openness to public scrutiny



Fraud and malpractice



THE ROYAL SOCIETY

Openness of data *per se* has little value. Open science is more than disclosure

For effective communication, replication and re-purposing we need **intelligent openness**. Data and meta-data must be:

- **Accessible**
- **Intelligible**
- **Assessable**
- **Re-usable**

Only when these four criteria are fulfilled are data properly open.

But, intelligent openness must be audience sensitive.

Open data to whom and for what?

Boundaries of openness?

Openness should be the default position, with proportional exceptions for:

- **Legitimate commercial interests** (sectoral variation)
- **Privacy** ("safe data" v open data – the anonymisation problem)
- **Safety, security & dual use** (impacts contentious)

All these boundaries are fuzzy

Responsibilities

- **Scientists:** - changing the mindset
- **Learned Societies:** - influencing their communities
- **Universities/Insts:** - incentives & promotion criteria
- proactive, not just compliant
- strategies (e.g. the library)
- management processes
- **Funders of research:** - mandate intelligent openness
- accept diverse outputs
- cost of open data is a cost of science
- strategic funding for technical solutions
(a priority for international collaboration)
- **Publishers:** - mandate concurrent open deposition

Levels of action on open data

International

- G8 statement
- Engagement of ICSU bodies (e.g. CODATA)
- Inter-academy collaboration
- Research Data Alliance


European

- A principle of Horizon 2020 (trial runs shortly)
- Engagement by EUA, LERU, LIBER


UK

- Research Councils
- JISC
- Research Data Transparency Board
- Universities UK/Russell Group
- HEFCE?
- A Forum?

A taxonomy of openness



A realisable aspiration: all scientific literature open & online, all data open & online, and for them to interoperate



... but, this is a process, not an event!